

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—It is stated that Government expect 7½ per cent income. Is it not a hardship on the villages where there are no village panchayats to provide so much of interest?

**\*Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—It is a matter of opinion.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—Can the Government relax the rule in view of the fact that they get at a lesser rate of interest?

**Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—The question does not arise at all in view of the fact that we are going to form the Electricity Board which will take over the entire management—production, distribution and everything.

#### Grants allotted to Chiknaikanahally Taluk under various Heads.

**Q.—143. Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY** (Chiknaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the grants allotted to Chiknaikanahally Taluk for rural water supply, local development works and depressed class amelioration funds during the year 1956-57;

(b) the amount spent, surrendered and lapsed under each head during this period?

**A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH** (Minister for Local Self-Government) [On behalf of **SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister)].—

(a) (i) Rs. 9,644

(ii) Rs. 4,875

(iii) Rs. 27,625.

(b) The details are given below:

	Amount spent	Amount surrendered	Amount lapsed
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(i) Rural Water Supply ...	8,394	1,000	250
(ii) Local Development Works ...	4,875	...	...
(iii) Depressed Class Amelioration Funds...	Rs. a. 24,376-11	Rs. a. 3,248-5	Rs. a. 748-5

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—Is the Government aware, Sir, that many wells since 10 to 12 years are half done and Government has invested lakhs of rupees in this taluk?

**Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.**—Government are not aware.

#### Converting Huliya Panchayat into a Municipality.

**Q.—151. Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY** (Chiknaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware of the necessity of converting Huliya Panchayat into a Municipality in Chiknaikanahally Taluk;

(b) whether any representation has been made by the public of Huliya in this matter;

(c) if so, whether they have taken any action in the matter?

**A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH** (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(a), (b) and (c) Yes.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—How long will it take to consider this question of converting the village panchayat of Huliya into a municipality?

**\*Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.**—The question of converting the village panchayat into a municipality is under consideration. Under the existing Act, it is not possible and there is some technical objection to convert the village panchayat into a municipality. Now that we are going to expedite the introduction of uniform laws, all these points will be considered under that.

#### Construction of Bridge at Desanur in Siruguppa Taluk.

**Q.—224. Sri B. E. RAMAIAH** (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there is any proposal to construct a bridge across Tungabhadra River at Desanur in Siruguppa

(SRI B. E. RAMAIAH).

Taluk, connecting Bellary and Raichur Districts;

(b) whether it has come to their notice that it is of vital importance, as one branch of the river has been provided with a bridge in the year 1938;

(c) whether they propose to take up this work early so as to open trade links?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Yes, but the exact site to be selected is under investigation.

(b) Yes.

(c) The matter is under investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸೇತುವೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಮಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ?

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೂಟಾ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಚೀಫ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಅವರು ಬೇರಕಡೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಹಿರೆ ಕಲ್ಲೂರು ಬಳಿ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಸ್ಥಳ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಂದ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಮುಂದಿನ ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವವರು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಡಿವಿಷನ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳೋ ಅಥವಾ ರಾಯಚೂರು ಡಿವಿಷನ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಡಿವಿಷನ್ನವರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಸೇತುವೆ ಕಟ್ಟಲಕ್ಕೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ದೇಶನೂರು ಬಳಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿದರೆ 20 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ, ಹಿರೆಕಲ್ಲೂರು ಬಳಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿದರೆ 25 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂದಾಜಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಅವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅದು ಇನ್ನೂ investigation stage ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅಮೇರಿಕೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಸೇತುವೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದರೆ ರಾಯಚೂರು, ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗ, ಬಿದರಿ, ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗವಾಗುತ್ತದೆನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸೇತುವೆ ರಸ್ತೆ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಡೆಯವರಿಗೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ವಿಶಾಲ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ರಾಯಚೂರು, ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗ ಕಡೆಗಳಿಂದ

ರಾಜಧಾನಿಯಾದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಈ ಮಾರ್ಗವೇ ಸಮಾಪವಾದುದು ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

**Amount not accounted to the Land Mortgage Bank, Hiriyur, by various Office Bearers of the Bank.**

Q.—250. Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the amount traced to have been not accounted to the Land Mortgage Bank of Hiriyur Taluk during audit, by various office bearers and employees of the bank;

(b) for what period the accounts were audited and why this delay is caused if amounts were not audited each year;

(c) when were the present Directors elected, and the reasons for not holding the annual General Body Meeting so far;

(d) the action taken by the controlling authorities so far for not holding the meeting of the General Body within the specified period?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Rs. 6,943-3-0.

(b) The accounts for the year 1953-54, 1954-55 and 1955-56 have been audited. There is no delay in audit of accounts.

(c) The present Directors were elected on 31st January 1954. The reason for not holding the annual General Body Meeting is due to failure on the part of the Society to conduct the General Body Meetings in spite of repeated instructions.

(d) The Assistant Registrar has issued instructions to the Society to hold General Body Meeting, while issuing the audit reports of the Society for 1953-54 and 1954-55 on 7-5-1956 and as the Society did not convene the meeting he instructed the Inspector of Co-operative Societies to call the